

Exhibit 14

March 13, 2020

Email from Kyle Moore

From: [Moore, Kyle {Mkt Function}](#)
To: [Greg Adams](#); [Bryan Case](#)
Cc: [McDermott, Jacob](#); [Woodworth, Thomas](#)
Subject: RE: Fall River's Proposed PPA for Chester Diversion Hydro Project
Date: Friday, March 13, 2020 3:54:05 PM

Bryan, Greg –

Thank you for this additional information, as it helped us to better understand your project submittal. We now understand that although the Chester hydroelectric project is an existing operating hydro project interconnected to Fall River, Fall River has elected not to pursue a transmission arrangement to deliver the output to a point of delivery on PacifiCorp's system in Idaho (due to the various complications Mr. Adams explains below). Instead, Fall River has elected to pursue a separate interconnection directly to PAC's system in Idaho. We further understand based on the correspondence you have provided that the interconnection study from PacifiCorp Transmission has been delayed due to the volume of requests in PacifiCorp's interconnection queue in this same general geographic region. Unfortunately, as a consequence of these decisions and events, Fall River is unable to provide information that reasonably demonstrates when the Chester Hydro project will deliver power to PacifiCorp. As such, consistent with QF contracting procedures set forth Parts I.B.5 and I.B.6 of RMP's Idaho Schedule 38 (which PacifiCorp applies to both QFs eligible and ineligible for standard published rates), RMP remains unable to commence negotiation of this PPA. Please let me know if developments change that would reasonably support a firm date Fall River is able to commit to deliver power to PacifiCorp. Such evidence could include an interconnection study (if interconnection continues to be pursued and a supporting interconnection study is delivered) or evidence of a transmission service arrangement to a POD on PacifiCorp's system in Idaho.

Finally, with respect to the updated Form 556 QF certification for the Chester project, that form, once filed, can be provided to myself and Tom Woodworth (copied).

Thanks,

Kyle Moore
Rocky Mountain Power
Commercial Services
O 801.220.4467
C 385.210.4209

From: Greg Adams [mailto:Greg@richardsonadams.com]
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2020 2:26 PM
To: Moore, Kyle {Mkt Function} <Kyle.Moore@pacificorp.com>; Bryan Case <Bryan.Case@fallriverelectric.com>
Cc: McDermott, Jacob <Jacob.McDermott@pacificorp.com>; Woodworth, Thomas <Thomas.Woodworth@PacifiCorp.com>
Subject: [INTERNET] RE: Fall River's Proposed PPA for Chester Diversion Hydro Project

**** REMEMBER SAIL WHEN READING EMAIL ****

Sender	The sender of this email is Greg@richardsonadams.com using a friendly name of Greg Adams . Are you expecting the message? Is this different from the message sender displayed above?
Attachments	Does this message contain attachments? Yes If yes, are you expecting them? Fall River Distribution One-Line.pdf , Chester Interconnection Request.pdf , RE_Q1182_ – Fall River Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc. _ QF System Impact Study Agreement.pdf , Fully Executed 191108 Q1182 QFSGI SISA.pdf , 191125 Q1182 LTR Study Provision Delay Notification.pdf , QF10-337-001.pdf
Internet Tag	Messages from the Internet should have [INTERNET] added to the subject.
Links	Does this message contain links? Yes Check links before clicking them or removing BLOCKED in the browser.
Cybersecurity risk assessment: Medium	

Tom, Jacob, and Kyle,

To follow up on my phone call with Tom on Friday, I am responding to the requests for additional information related to Fall River Rural Electric Cooperative’s Chester Diversion Project, as requested by Kyle. I have reproduced Kyle’s questions in italics below and provided Fall River’s response beneath each question. We look forward to hearing back from PacifiCorp and reaching agreement on terms of the proposed PPA for the Chester Project. If you have any further questions, please contact me.

(1) While we understand from your original information provided in September 2019 that the Chester Diversion Project is already operating, you also mention that you are seeking an interconnection to PacifiCorp’s system. Considering these two statements together, we assume this means the project is already interconnected to another system and delivering power. Can you please confirm and elaborate so we may understand the situation better? Assuming we understand the situation correctly, have you considered purchasing transmission to deliver the output to PacifiCorp’s system? That would seem to avoid the interconnection study delays you indicate you are experiencing.

Fall River’s Response: As discussed with Tom on the phone on Friday, the Chester project is currently interconnected to Fall River’s own distribution system, and the output of the facility is currently being used to serve Fall River’s own members’ loads. However, there is a 12.5-kV PacifiCorp line located directly adjacent to the current interconnection point on the Fall River line, and therefore Fall River’s engineers have concluded that interconnecting the facility to the PacifiCorp system would be feasible and reasonably achievable. During the scoping meeting with PacifiCorp’s transmission personnel, it appeared that this should be a relatively easy interconnection without any insurmountable obstacles.

With respect to PacifiCorp’s inquiry as to why Fall River proposes to interconnect to PacifiCorp’s system as opposed to wheeling the power to PacifiCorp’s system from the existing interconnection point on Fall River’s system, Fall River has considered this option and believes that the proposal to interconnect to PacifiCorp’s system is the more efficient and reasonable option from a contractual and engineering standpoint. The

alternative that Fall River has considered is that the parties could attempt to wheel the power over Fall River's system to the point of interconnection between the two utilities in the Rexburg substation. However, there are engineering and contractual obstacles to this alternative, including: (i) the entire wheeling arrangement would be located within PacifiCorp's balancing authority; therefore it is not a standard interchange transaction and it may be difficult for the parties to agree on the proper way to meter and track the delivery to the Rexburg substation; and (ii) Fall River's system does not currently operate in such a way that there is an open connection to the Rexburg substation from the Chester facility at all times of the year – In engineering terms, the Chester project's output feeds into Fall River's Daw Substation, and the Daw Substation is connected to the Rexburg substation (to which PacifiCorp's system is connected); but while Fall River does not operate its distribution systems in a looped configuration, meaning the two feeders from two different substations are electrically connected 24/7/365, this connection is occasionally closed and an open point established in another location during outages or for maintenance. This is depicted on the attached map/one-line diagram of the relevant facilities.

In light of these facts, Fall River has proposed the simpler solution of a direct interconnection to PacifiCorp's system.

(2) *Can you please provide the documentation you've exchanged with PacifiCorp's Transmission Function that relates to your interconnection application and request?*

Fall River's Response: We have attached the following documents:

- Fall River's Interconnection Request, dated October 3, 2019, with check for \$1,000;
- Fall River and PacifiCorp Transmission held a scoping meeting on October 31, 2019, and it appeared that there should be no major obstacles to achieving interconnection of the facility; thus, Fall River agreed to waive the Feasibility Study to expedite the process;
- Fall River's email dated November 12, 2019, containing additional project information, executed System Impact Study Agreement, and \$10,000 study deposit, which PacifiCorp has cashed;
- Fully executed System Impact Study Agreement, dated Nov. 22, 2019;
- Letter from PacifiCorp Transmission dated November 25, 2020, stating that the study could not be completed on time.

(3) *Finally, you mention you've previously provided PAC the QF self-certification for this project. We're unable to locate in our records and are unable to access on the FERC eLibrary. Can you please provide us a copy?*

Fall River's Response: The facility has been certified in FERC Docket QF10-337. We have attached the most recently filed recertification form. Please note that Fall River intends to file a recertification form to include the plans to sell to PacifiCorp and to update some other information, such as the facility's ownership. If you have any questions about the facility's ability to meet the qualification criteria, please let me know.

Greg Adams
Richardson Adams, PLLC
515 N. 27th Street
Boise, Idaho 83702
Voice: 208.938.2236
Facsimile: 208.938.7904

Information contained in this electronic message and in any attachments hereto may contain information that is confidential, protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine. Inadvertent disclosure of the contents of this email or its attachments to unintended recipients is not intended to and does not constitute a waiver of the attorney/client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender of the erroneous receipt and destroy this email and any attachments of the same either electronic or printed. Thank you.

From: Moore, Kyle {Mkt Function} <Kyle.Moore@pacificorp.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2020 4:52 PM
To: Bryan Case <Bryan.Case@fallriverelectric.com>
Cc: Greg Adams <Greg@richardsonadams.com>; McDermott, Jacob <Jacob.McDermott@pacificorp.com>; Woodworth, Thomas <Thomas.Woodworth@PacifiCorp.com>
Subject: RE: Fall River's Proposed PPA for Chester Diversion Hydro Project

Bryan,

We are in receipt of your latest February 19, 2020 correspondence. As discussed previously, consistent with the Company's Idaho Schedule 38 (Part I.B.5), we're not able to commence negotiations on the PPA until such time as we can review your available transmission/interconnection materials to reasonably confirm that the project is able to deliver power on the date you indicated, which informs your eligibility for the current avoided cost rate. We're happy to continue to evaluate the situation with this project, but we need more information. Please see below:

- (1) While we understand from your original information provided in September 2019 that the Chester Diversion Project is already operating, you also mention that you are seeking an interconnection to PacifiCorp's system. Considering these two statements together, we assume this means the project is already interconnected to another system and delivering power. Can you please confirm and elaborate so we may understand the situation better? Assuming we understand the situation correctly, have you considered purchasing transmission to deliver the output to PacifiCorp's system? That would seem to avoid the interconnection study delays you indicate you are experiencing.
- (2) Can you please provide the documentation you've exchanged with PacifiCorp's Transmission Function that relates to your interconnection application and request?
- (3) Finally, you mention you've previously provided PAC the QF self-certification for this project. We're unable to locate in our records and are unable to access on the FERC eLibrary. Can you please provide us a copy?

Thanks,

Kyle Moore
Rocky Mountain Power
Commercial Services
O 801.220.4467
C 385.210.4209

From: Greg Adams [<mailto:Greg@richardsonadams.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2020 9:34 AM
To: McDermott, Jacob <Jacob.McDermott@pacificorp.com>; Moore, Kyle {Mkt Function} <Kyle.Moore@pacificorp.com>
Cc: Bryan Case <Bryan.Case@fallriverelectric.com>
Subject: [INTERNET] Fall River's Proposed PPA for Chester Diversion Hydro Project

**** REMEMBER SAIL WHEN READING EMAIL ****

Sender	The sender of this email is Greg@richardsonadams.com using a friendly name of Greg Adams . Are you expecting the message? Is this different from the message sender displayed above?
Attachments	Does this message contain attachments? Yes If yes, are you expecting them? Fall River Letter Re Chester PPA 2-19-2020.pdf , Form of Idaho Small Hydro PPA _ 1.2.20 _Fall River -Chester Edits_2.18.2020.docx , Chester to PAC one line.pdf
Internet Tag	Messages from the Internet should have [INTERNET] added to the subject.
Links	Does this message contain links? Yes Check links before clicking them or removing BLOCKED in the browser.
Cybersecurity risk assessment: Medium	

Jacob and Kyle, Please see that attached cover letter and draft PPA that we are sending in US Mail today. Please contact me with any questions.

Greg Adams
Richardson Adams, PLLC
515 N. 27th Street
Boise, Idaho 83702
Voice: 208.938.2236
Facsimile: 208.938.7904

Information contained in this electronic message and in any attachments hereto may contain information that is confidential, protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine. Inadvertent disclosure of the contents of this email or its attachments to unintended recipients is not intended to and does not constitute a waiver of the attorney/client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender of the erroneous receipt and destroy this email and any attachments of the same either electronic or printed. Thank you.